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genSET: a FP7 Science in Society project Sept 2009 - Feb 2012

- How European science can benefit from integrated action on gender

Focused 5 areas where gender inequalities and biases disadvantage women in science

- Science knowledge-making
- Research process
- Recruitment and retention
- Assessment of women's work
- Science excellence value system


Areas of policy in European Research Area where gender dimension has impact
genSET: a FP7 Science in Society project

$$
\text { Sept } 2009 \text { - Feb } 2012
$$

- Bibliometric study
on 120+ reports on gender in science
- 3 consensus seminars
with dialogue between Science Leaders Panel (15), Gender Experts (8), Science Institutions (9) and Patron Institutions (7) including the European Commission
- genSET Consensus Report (June 2010) with 13 Recommendations for Action on the Gender Dimension in Science
- Follow-up Consultation Report Oct 2011 supported by the European Science Foundation (ESF)
- Follow-up conference: European Gender Summit, Brussels 8-9 Nov 2011


## Overall Gender Strategy



## genSET recommendations (outlines) (1)

Knowledge making:

1. The need to incorporate sex and gender analysis in basic and applied research, and illustrate how this promotes research excellence
2. Train scientists in sex and gender analysis
3. Include sex and gender analysis in all research evaluations (peer review, promotion, papers)

## genSET recommendations (outlines) (2)

Human capital:

1. Research teams should be gender diverse. Increased diversity correlates positively with scientific quality
2. Gender balance in all decision-making committees
3. Appreciation that different management styles creates greater diversity within R\&D institutions
4. Make women more visible in the R\&D institution and encourage them to apply for higher positions

## genSET recommendations (outlines) (3)

## Practices and processes:

1. Assessment procedures to focus on quality rather than quantity of publications/research output will tend to benefit women
2. Compensate for committee and administrative burden with support staff or reduced teaching so research does not suffer, women tend to be overloaded with such obligations by requirements for gender balance
3. Working conditions should include maternity and paternity leave, dual careers, compensations for career breaks, late careers etc
4. Strategies stimulating women to apply for (higher) scientific positions

## genSET recommendations (outlines) (4)

## Regulation and compliance:

1. Institutions should have explicit targets to improve gender balance, and be regularly monitored
2. Gender issues must be an integral part of internal and external evaluation of institutions. A member of the leadership team should be responsible for gender-related issues

## genSET Public Consultation (outlines) (1)

1. Strong support for the quota instrument, with a minimum of $40 \%$ women on all decision-making bodies
2. The gender dimension should be included in all funding proposals and thematic research areas - from the guide for applicants to the guide for evaluators
3. Structural changes are needed to ensure gender equality within the scientific system
4. A flexible grant system to ensure people are not afraid of starting a familiy for fear of losing funding

## Proposed gender actions in implementation of the European Research Area (ERA)

- Enhanced recruitment of women researchers, including women research leaders, is proposed in the Marie Curie Actions Workshop 14 July 2011 Summary Report on issues to be included in HORIZON 2020

The ERA Steering Group for Human Resources and Mobility (SGHRM) in its input to ERAC on development of the ERA
Framework
" highlights gender balance, especially in senior positions and in selection committees, as one of 4 horisontal initiatives

- has requested that its WG Monitoring shall propose a new gender indicator for the 2012 Innovation Scoreboard in collaboration with the Helsinki Group and the Commission. The fraction of women in grade A (high) research positions is one candidate indicator

