CANADA's CHAIRS FOR WOMEN IN SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

Catherine Mavriplis University of Ottawa On behalf of The National Network of NSERC CWSE Chairs



Chairs for Women in Science and Engineering Chaires pour les femmes en sciences & génie

Réseau National Network



The Chairs for Women in Science and Engineering (CWSE) Program was launched by Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) in 1996.

The goal of the program is to increase the participation of women in **Science**, **Technology**, **Engineering**, and **Mathematics** (**STEM**), and to provide role models for women active in, and considering, careers in these fields.



BC/YUKON Dr. Lesley Shannon **PRAIRIES** Dr. Annemieke Farenhorst

S ON eke Dr. C st Ma

ONTARIO Dr. Catherine Mavriplis

From Coast to Coast

QUÉBEC Eve Langelier, Ing. Ph.D.







NSERC Chairs for Women in Science and Engineering **CRSNG** Chaires pour les femmes en sciences & génie

Réseau National Network





We serve a vast clientele in addition to our vast geography:

- From girls to professionals
- All of Science and Engineering



YOUTH

UNIV STUDENTS ACADEMICS

INDUSTRY PROFESSIONALS

Example Network activities as a result of cross-fertilization:

- promotion workshops for aspiring and current women professors across
 Canada
- through the Prairie Chair's pioneering programs with indigenous youth, new programs are starting
- through the Atlantic Chair's partnership with Techsploration, created a bank of English- and French-language videos for girls/young women to explore STEM careers
- in collaboration with historians, archivists: create an Archive of women scientists and engineers in Canada.







January 2016 to September 2017 Oirect Intervention ○ Catalyst Representation Ø

Direct Intervention	Communities where Chairholders and/or their regular staff have directly visited for some type of intervention or activity.
Catalyst	Communities where some type of intervention occurred as a result of a Chair program, including locations visited by programs Chairs fund.
Representation	Communities Chairs have accessed by bringing community members to more central locations for interventions or programming. This is often more cost-effective than direct interventions in remote communities.

January 2016 to September 2017



SHARING PROVEN PRACTICES 42 committees

181 lectures, panels, courses, and workshops 5 conferences

ШQ

REĂTE

NSERC

CRSNG

Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada

 $HRC \equiv C$

Conseil de recherches en sciences humaines du Canada

SHINING A SPOTLIGHT 121 academic publications

- 60 mainstream media features and interviews
- 8 regional and national awards

REACHING OUT

14119 youth and parents 3561 post-secondary students 3163 industry professionals 4761 academics and teachers 25604 direct interactions

BUILDING MOMENTUM 11 major grants received \$4.9 million leveraged beyond the original NSERC and matching grants

BUILDING NETWORKS 24 companies 72 non-profit organizations 7 international partnerships



Many thanks to NSERC and all our partners



Setting the historical scene: National initiatives to promote the full participation of girls and women in Canadian STEM, 1970's-2000's:

> Ruby Heap, PhD University of Ottawa, Ontario, Canada Gender Summit11, Montréal, QC

1960's-1970's

Identifying the « problem » of women in STEM:

- Key role of the « second wave » women's movement in the late 1960's and 1970's:
- Landmark 1970 Report of the Royal Commission on the Status of Women, established in 1967 by federal government: encourages women to « work in jobs formerly filled by men », since the « full use of human resources is in the national interest ».
- The **underrepresentation of women in the STEM** defined as a « problem » of serious concern that governement, the educational sector, policy-makers and activists must address.
- Call for full access of women to «male-dominated professions » is rooted in an equal rights and social justice framework

1970's-1980's: Women Fulfilling Canada's « manpower » needs

 Changing economic context raises federal government's explicit concern with women's participation in STEM

2 major developments:

- Growing fear that serious shortages of university-trained engineers and scientists will affect **Canada's economic competitiveness**

-Increasing number of middle class women graduate from university: defined by governement as a reserve of untapped talent 1970'S-1980'S: Reaching out to girls and young women: Tackling the «problem» in the classroom

• Supported by the women's movement, teachers, activists and policy makers conduct research and develop initiatives to improve the attitudes towards, and the achievement of girls and young women in science and technology subjects, with the goal to increase their interest in related careers.

Main barriers identified: sex-role socialisation of girls at home and at school, «masculine » image of science and technology, lack of inspiring role models

 Recommended measures: include appropriate career guidance, measures to change negative attitudes toward STEM; role modelling; hands-on experiments.

Approach is championned in landmark National Policy Statement

- Science Council of Canada (established in 1966 to advise federal government on science and tecnology policy)
- REPORT: Who Turns the Wheel? (1981)

1980's-1990'S: A strong « economic » case for women's participation in STEM

- Approach focuses on the benefits women can bring to science and technology industries.
- Linked to the perceived economic need for their skills, as recognized by both government and industry.
- 1987: federal government adopts first National Science and Technology Policy, which states that Canada's competitiveness in the world relies on larger supply of STEM professionals in industry and universities.
- Women are integrated in government's new « innovation agenda »

Development of State-Industry-University Partnership model to address « problem »

- Partnership supports several programs aimed at attracting and retaining women in STEM studies and academic careers.
- NSERC takes on leading role as manager of these programs. Example: Women's Faculty Awards Program, established in 1991, to help increase the number of women in faculty positions

1989: Partnership leads to creation of national Northern Telecom/NSERC Chair for Women in Engineering

- Funded jointly by the federal government and NORTEL; managed by NSERC.
- First Chairholder based at the University of New-Brunswick: Monique Frize, Professor of electrical engineering.
- Mandate: develop programs and strategies to attract, train and retain more women who will work as engineers in industry and academia

December 6 1989: The « Montreal Massacre ». A catalyst for change

- Tragedy propels the « problem » of women in engineering in the public arena.
- Renewed and increasing concern for the status of women amongst members of the engineering profession.
- U OF T professor Ursula Franklin, a reputed engineer and staunch feminist, will observe:

« It became possible to speak publicly about the chilly climate, about bias, about sexism, misogyny and patriarchy.. »

Paradigm shift: « Fix the institutions, not the women » Report: More than Just Numbers, 1992

- Report submitted by CCWE, chaired by Monique Frize; endorsed by government, industry, professional associations and universities.
- Bringing more women in the educational and professional pipeline is no longer enough: what is needed is to uncover the systemic social and cultural barriers responsible for the under-representation of women in engineering
- What needs to be « fixed » are not the women, but the institutions (educational and professional).

1996: NSERC expands government-industry-university partnership to expand Chair Program

Creation of five regional chairs, managed by NSERC PRESENTATION BY CATHERINE MAVRIPLIS

Into the 21st Century: focusing on the situation of women faculty/researchers

- 2008: Controversy created by results of the first Canada Excellence Reasearch Chairs Competition (no woman in the 19 appointed chairs)
- Federal government asks Council of Canadian Academies (CCA) to strike Expert Panel on Women in University Research. Long-time feminist activist Lorna Marsden chairs.
- Some NSERC Chairholders participate in Panel's activities.

Report Strengthening Canada's Research Capacity: The Gender Dimension (2012)

- Women's progress is uneven by discipline and rank.
- Reports on the paucity of women in leadership positions who can act as mentors and role models, the salary gap, the challenge of work-family life balance, and the persisting « chilly climate » where the « combined effects of seemingly small inequities can create a negative atmosphere ».
- Advocates for « continued institutional transformation »
- Recommends policies ensuring transparency in search processes and allocation of resources, more family-friendly options and more flexible models of career progression, mentorship and sponsorship of new faculty.
- Lorna Marsden shocked and frustrated by the lack of Canadian qualitative and quantitative data, noting the « chronic lack of attention to diversity data ».

Concluding thoughts

- After more than four decades, the « problem » of women in STEM still exists
- Has become a much more complex one: a multilayered problem which addresses wide range of issues linked to recruitment, retention, and advancement.
- Measures and policies target whole spectrum of educational « ecosystem »: K-12, universities (undergraduate, graduate, post-doc, faculty, administrative functions) as well as a wide variety of workplaces.
- The importance of considering variations in women's numbers experiences according to disciplines and sub-disciplines should not be underestimated.
- Including intersectionality approach is another challenge, but we have to get on board! Goes hand in hand with current efforts to achieve diversity and equity.
- Lack of sophisticated and comprehensive data is of concern to me!

Concluding thoughts

- The comforting news is that we have entering a new era with the appointment of Minister Kirsty Duncan.
- In an interview with the *Globe and Mail* in 2016, Minister Duncan declared: « I am personally committed to working to improve the representation of women in STEM disciplines, and view this as an important part of my mandate ».

THE U.S. NSF ADVANCE PROGRAM MODEL



STEM institutions and organizations that are structured to be inclusive



A diverse STEM academic workforce



Research-based equity practices in STEM institutions and organizations



ADVANCE@nsf.gov

Systemic & Organizational Change

ADVANCE focuses on "fixing" STEM systems and organizations (not individuals)

Examples of organizational and systemic issues that impact equity include:

- Recruitment, retention, tenure, and promotion policies and practices
- Work-life balance and career flexibility policies and programs and usage
- Salaries, start-up packages, and access to resources
- Institutional service allocations & requirements (committees, mentoring, etc.)
- Culture and climate of organization
- Accountability of STEM leadership and commitment to diversity



Organizational Strategies that Work at IHEs

Improvement of Institutional Structures

Institutional data collection systems; Creating expectations for public reporting of data; Establish processes for using data in decision making; Review and revision of policies, practices, and processes (hiring, tenure, promotion and others) for transparency, clarity, and consistency

Equitable Career Support for Individuals

Formal mentoring programs; Faculty leadership development; Research network development; Policies to support faculty during life events and critical junctures; Checklists for start up packages for candidates

Empowerment of Individuals and Leaders

Training and awareness building of gender equity issues (implicit bias, micro-aggressions, stereotype threat, tec.); Creating tools and resources for faculty and leadership to use in decision making; Creating accountability measures for leadership and decision makers; combating isolation and creating networks for women in STEM

Work Life Support Policies

Dual career offices and policies; flexible academic career policies; dependent care policies; other worklife balance programs; training for leadership on the implementation of these policies and programs

ADVANCE IT Institutions – Indicators of Change



Cohorts 1-2 (n=19)

Broader Impacts of ADVANCE

- 28
- Movement of ADVANCE Faculty and Administrators among higher education institutions – transfers best practices with and without grant funds
- What works to recruit, retain, and promote women in STEM academics improves the institution for all:
 - Issues for other underrepresented groups can also be addressed
 - Men now enter the work force with an interest and expectation for work-life balance
 - Non-STEM disciplines benefit from institution-wide changes
- Cost/benefits: The cost of implementing these programs can be offset by productivity and retention of faculty
- Institutional peer pressure to undertake equity efforts to remain competitive with peer institutions to recruit and retain faculty and students
- Systems to collect detailed faculty data and expectations that this data will be reported publicly and used in decision making

Next Steps for ADVANCE

Focus on Intersectionality:

- Barriers to gender equity may not be identical for all groups of women faculty in STEM
- All ADVANCE proposals are expected to offer strategies to promote gender equity for all faculty and address intersectionality

More and better sharing from ADVANCE:

- □ ADVANCE Resource Coordination Network project AWIS recent grant
- Strategies for Effecting Gender Equity and Institutional Change <u>http://www.colorado.edu/eer/research/strategic.html</u>

The role of other systems and organizations on equity:

□ STEM professional societies, leadership councils, funding agencies, etc.

NSF's Career Life Balance Initiatives

All NSF grant principle investigators have:

- Flexibility in timing of the start and end dates of research grants
- Access to grant supplements for research technicians to sustain research
- Family-friendly policies in early career programs: Graduate Research Fellowships, CAREER, and post doctoral fellowships.
 - Deferrals for family related situations
 - Grant supplements for research technicians
- Increased use of virtual peer review panels to broaden participation of panelists who cannot easily travel

www.nsf.gov/career-life-balance



ADVANCE Resources

Individual ADVANCE project websites, for example:

- > University of Michigan <u>http://advance.umich.edu/</u>
- > WISELI <u>http://wiseli.engr.wisc.edu/</u>
- > Hunter College <u>http://www.hunter.cuny.edu/genderequity/</u>

Synthesis or collections of ADVANCE products and strategies:

- Strategies for Effecting Gender Equity and Institutional Change <u>http://www.colorado.edu/eer/research/strategic.html</u>
- > ADVANCE Portal <u>http://www.portal.advance.vt.edu/</u>
- > ADVANCE Implementation Mentors (AIM) Network <u>http://advanceaimnetwork.org/</u>







Increasing the Participation and Advancement of Women in Academic Science and Engineering Careers

THANK YOU

THE ADVANCE MODEL: Systemic Change to Enhance Equity in STEM Academic Careers

ADVANCE@nsf.gov

Equity (or Equal Opportunity)

Equity issues may exist even if proportional representation is achieved.

Pay gaps are an example of a gender equity issue in academics that may persist even with full participation of women:



Pay at Doctoral Institutions



AAUP Faculty salary survey data U.S. doctoral institutions 2014-2015

ADVANCE Institutional Transformation Example

Montana State University

Intervention with search committee chairs had impact on hiring of women compared to control search committees (total searches=23):

- 6.3 times more likely to make an offer to women
- Women were 5.8 times more likely to accept an offer if made

The intervention was provided by a faculty peer:

- 1) Tip sheet and search toolkit overview
- 2) 30 min overview of implicit biases
- Discussion of work-life integration and suggestion to have candidates meet with a family advocate for 15 mins



Jessi L. Smith et al. BioScience 2015;65:1084-1087



National Science Foundation's ADVANCE Program

65 Institutional Transformation awards

- $> \sim 2\%$ of all non-profit IHEs in U.S.
 - > But 28% of very high research IHEs
 - 17% of ITs are to minority-serving institutions

Adaptation and Partnership awards to spread promising practices

- > 99 additional non-profit IHEs in U.S.
- > 10 STEM professional societies



ADVANCE Grant Types NSF 16-594

Institutional Transformation (IT)

- Develop, implement, and study innovative organizational change strategies to foster gender equity
- Up to \$3M over five years
- Single IHE that has not had IT before (all STEM)
- Preliminary proposal April 12, 2017* (required)
- Proposal (if invited after preliminary) Jan. 17, 2018*

Adaptation

- Adapt proven organizational gender equity strategies
- Up to \$1M over three years
- Single IHE that has not had IT before (all STEM) or
- Single non-profit org. (one or more disciplines)
- LOI Aug. 9, 2017* (required)
- Proposal Sept. 13, 2017*

Partnership

- Scale-up proven systemic gender equity strategies for national or regional impact
- Up to \$1M over 3-5 years
- Two or more partner orgs.
- One or more disciplines
- LOI Dec. 14, 2016* (required)
- Proposal Jan. 11, 2017*

*These deadlines will recur every other year until the solicitation is replaced.

HeForShe at National Autonomous University of Mexico (UNAM)

Cecilia Noguez Instituto de Física UNAM









UNAM

USA

is the oldest and largest university in Mexico with campuses in all the country, as well as in Canada, China, Costa Rica, France, UK, Spain, and



Library in main campus in Mexico City



Main campus in Mexico City UNESCO declared World Heritage in 2009

Students 349,539

205,648 Undergraduate

- 30,363 Graduate
- 112,624 High School

Professors 40,184

12,292 Full time

27,892 Part time

Schools 26 + 48 Research Centers

- 121 Undergraduate programs
 - 41 Graduate programs

Undergraduate Population



What we have done at UNAM



Faculty and staff in 2012

women

60 45 56.6% 30 43.4% 15 0

men

Faculty and staff in 2012 by rank



Chairs of Research Centers



University Governance



2012 Undergraduate Population (%)



Ad Campaigns: printed, radio, etc.



LA UNAM TE RESPALDA ACCIONES POR LA IGUALDAD DE GÉNERO w.igualdaddegenero unam m

Ad Campaigns: printed, radio, etc.







HeForShe IMPACT 10x10x10





10 Heads of State





COMMITMENTS AND PROGRESS



Commitment 1: STEM Outreach

Boost female student participation in STEM outreach experiences and activities to build the pipeline of future female leaders to **33 per cent by 2020**.

We exceed this goal and reached 35 per cent in 2017.



Commitment 2: Female Faculty

Enhance female faculty representation to **30 per cent by 2020** to improve the campus environment today and drive towards parity in the future.

We are just shy of our goal at 29 per cent in 2017.



Commitment 3: Female Leaders

Attract and advance female leaders into senior academic and administrative university positions to **29 per cent by 2020**.

We are at 27.5 per cent in 2017. Up from 24.5 per cent in 2014.



TAKING ACTION

- HeForShe IMPACT Scholarships
 - 18 recipients of IMPACT Scholarships since 2015
- Establishment of Faculty and Campus Advocates
- Leadership and Mentorship Roundtables
- Gender Equity Research Grants
- HeForShe Writing Contest and Anthology
- Positive Masculinity Workshops
- New STEM Outreach Programs
 - Girls in Indigenous Communities
 - STEM Outreach Website
 - Physics: Girls Matter



#CountMeIn Social Media Campaign

The #CountMeIn social media campaign was launched on June 12, 2017 to help raise awareness and show others that you stand for gender equity

