Goal

To provide advice that can be used:

1) To improve awareness of gender bias in the assessment of researchers and their work;

2) To identify research gaps in understanding how gender bias and gender stereotypes influence the way female and male researchers are perceived by evaluators;

3) To develop improved practice for merit review.
Schedule

1:00: Session convenes; schedule & goals
1:05: Kelvin Droegemeier – Merit Review at the National Science Foundation [video]
1:15: Introductions
1:20: Pär Omling – The European Peer Review Guide
1:30: Questions/discussion
1:35: Isabelle Blain – Peer review policies and practices at NSERC and CIHR
1:45: Questions/discussion
1:50: Elaine Sierra-Rivera – Policies for Including Women and Minority Groups in Clinical Research
2:00: Questions/discussion
2:05: Michèle Lamont – Epistemological Diversity and Procedural Fairness in Peer Review
2:15: Questions/discussion
2:20: Frances Bonier (Rapporteur) - summary followed by further discussion
2:30: Session ends
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Isabelle Blain, Vice-President, Research Grants and Scholarships Directorate, Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada

Kelvin Droegemeier, Vice-President for Research, University of Oklahoma & Vice-Chair, National Science Board, USA

Pär Omling, President, European Science Foundation and Vice-President, Science Europe

Michèle Lamont, Professor of Sociology and African & African-American Studies, Robert I. Goldman Professor of European Studies, Harvard University, USA

Fran Bonier, Assistant Professor, Queen's University, Canada (Rapporteur)

Steve Meacham, Senior Staff Associate, National Science Foundation, USA